

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. OF 2026  
[ARISING OUT OF SLP (CRL) NO.19350/2025]**

**NAKUL YADAV**

**Appellant(s)**

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR. Respondent(s)**

**O R D E R**

1. Leave granted.
2. The challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 05.12.2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, whereby the petition filed by the appellant seeking quashing of FIR No.1773 dated 07.11.2021 lodged at Police Station-Kavi Nagar, District Ghaziabad and all subsequent proceedings was dismissed.
3. The FIR in question was got registered on the complaint made by the respondent no.2 under Sections 323, 504, 506, 326-B, 342, 376 and 120-B of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short "IPC").

Chargesheet was filed thereafter under Sections 323, 504,506 and 376 of IPC. The trial Court had taken cognizance of the offences mentioned in the chargesheet. However, subsequently, the dispute between the parties stood resolved as the claim of the appellant was that prior to the registration of the FIR, they had married on 16.05.2017 and a child was also born on 14.08.2020. The FIR was got registered on account of some misunderstanding.

4. The dispute in its entirety, stands resolved. A settlement agreement has also been executed on 16.08.2024. The respondent no.2/ complainant has joined the hearing through video conferencing. She has endorsed the fact that the dispute between the parties stands resolved amicably. They are living together with their child.

5. After hearing learned counsel for the parties and considering the aforesaid facts, in our opinion, continuation of proceedings in the case in hand will be an exercise in futility as the dispute between the parties stands settled and the appellant as well as respondent no.2/ complainant are happily residing as family, along with their

child.

6. The Criminal Appeal is, accordingly, allowed.

7. The impugned order passed by the High Court is set aside. The FIR in question and all subsequent proceedings, stand quashed.

8. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

. . . . . ,J  
[RAJESH BINDAL]

. . . . . ,J  
[VIJAY BISHNOI]

NEW DELHI;  
FEBRUARY 13, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No.19350/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 05-12-2024 in A482 No. 34354/2024 passed by the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad]

NAKUL YADAV

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF UTTAR PRADESH & ANR.

Respondent(s)

[IA No. 263625/2025 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING  
IA No. 263627/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.]

Date : 13-02-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIJAY BISHNOI

For Petitioner(s) : Miss Tanya Agarwal, AOR

For Respondent(s) : Dr. Vijendra Singh, AOR  
Mr. Aniket Tiwari, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Ojha , AOR  
Ms. Shivani Verma, Adv.  
Ms. Arthana Brahma, Adv.  
Mr. Navy Charlie, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Criminal Appeal is allowed in terms of  
the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also  
stand disposed of.

(KRITIKA TIWARI)  
SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT

(AKSHAY KUMAR BHORIA)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

[Signed order is placed on file]