



ITEM NO.25

COURT NO.1

SECTION II-B

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).21148/2025

[Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 18-11-2025 in CRM-M No.57923/2025 passed by the High Court of Punjab & Haryana at Chandigarh]

ALKA

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

Respondent(s)

IA No. 335033/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT

IA No. 335035/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.

IA No. 335036/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES

Date : 06-01-2026 This matter was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI

For Petitioner(s) Ms. Renu, Adv.
Mr. Swagata Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Kamlesh Kumar Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Navy Charlie, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Khari, Adv.
Mr. Rathod Rajesh Ranjit, AOR

For Respondent(s) Ms. Nidhi Narwal, Adv.
Ms. Srishti Jain, Adv.
Mr. Akshay Amritanshu, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

1. The petitioner seeks enlargement on bail in FIR

No.373/2024, dated 21.06.2024, regd. at PS Surajkund, Faridabad, under Section 420/120-B IPC. The allegations are of criminal conspiracy in relation to investments purportedly made in share market trading. It is alleged that the petitioner and her co-accused father collected a substantial amount from the investors, on the assurance of high returns, a part whereof also appears to be deposited in the bank account of the petitioner.

2. What is pertinent to note is that the petitioner is a 21-year-old student. It is quite possible that she was not even aware that the money was being collected by her father and transferred to her account. Be that as it may, it is a question of trial and will be decided based on the evidence. The petitioner was arrested on 21.08.2025. The investigation is complete, and the chargesheet has been filed on 01.10.2025. It is stated that the petitioner's co-accused are absconding. If that is so, the trial is likely to be delayed. No useful purpose will be served by retaining the petitioner in custody, especially when she is a young student.

3. For the reasons aforesaid, however, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Trial Court.

4. The Special Leave Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

5. As a result, the pending interlocutory applications also stand disposed of.

(SATISH KUMAR YADAV)
ADDITIONAL REGISTRAR

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR